
kylation of $\beta$-keto esters. The fact that 19, 20, and 22 are readily available from the ketones 17 and 21 using a new conjunctive reagent $18^{11}$ makes this 1,3 shift a lynchpin in a new cyclopentanone synthesis. The formation of $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and 12 illustrate applications of this new methodology in prostaglandin ${ }^{9}$ and steroid synthesis.

The mechanism of this 1,3 shift can be thought to involve an oxidative addition of the allyl ether to palladium(0) as in eq 2 to form a zwitterion $23 .{ }^{12}$ This intermediate collapses by


23
C alkylation to form the observed product. The regiochemistry of the collapse is quite interesting in that a five-membered-ring product is observed, even in the case of $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ where seven-membered-ring formation could have proceeded by attack at the less hindered carbon of the allyl unit. ${ }^{13}$ These results stand in stark contrast to cyclizations to form lactones in which the larger of the two possible ring sizes dominates even when an eight-membered ring results rather than a six. ${ }^{14}$ Applications and additional mechanistic studies into this metal-catalyzed 1,3 shift are underway. ${ }^{15}$ This new reaction illustrates an ability of a transition metal to change the normal rules of reactivity of an organic system.
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## A New Diene Synthesis via Organopalladium Chemistry

Sir:
Approaches to dienes via carbonyl olefination procedures usually lead to stereoisomeric mixtures. We report here that a new palladium catalyzed decarboxylative elimination of the adducts from enals and carboxylate enolates, a prototype for transition metal catalyzed fragmentation reactions, can lead to a highly stereocontrolled diene synthesis from erythro-threo mixtures as outlined in eq 1 . This new fragmentation reaction

has also generated a cyclohexadiene synthesis in conjunction with Diels-Alder reactions. Application of this method to a synthesis of the insect sex pheromones bombykol ${ }^{1}$ and codlemone ${ }^{2}$ is also reported. We believe that this study represents the first case of activation of a substrate for loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ by palladium catalysts.

Reported methods ${ }^{3-5}$ that effect the elimination of $\beta$-hydroxycarboxylic acids to olefins do so with high stereospeci-
ficity ${ }^{8}$-a fact which necessitates stereodefined syntheses of the $\beta$-hydroxy compounds in order to translate into a stereodefined olefin synthesis. ${ }^{3-8}$ Unfortunately, the most direct approach of adding the enolate of a carboxylic acid ${ }^{9}$ to a carbonyl group produces a diastereomeric mixture, frequently in a 1:1 ratio. Here a stereoselective ${ }^{8}$ diene synthesis where both stereoisomeric adducts can lead to the same diene is required. Addition of the dianion from propionic acid to ( $E$ )-2-phenylcrotonaldehyde and from phenylacetic acid to $(E)$-cinnamaldehyde followed by in situ acetylation with acetyl chloride led to precursors $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ as $1: 1$ and $3: 1$ diastereomeric mixtures in 84 and $92 \%$, respectively. Subjection of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ to $3-5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$

of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (3) and 1.1 equiv of triethylamine in refluxing THF or, preferably, in toluene at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced the $E, E$ dienes 4 and 5 in 61-84 and 76$89 \%$ yields. In subsequent studies, use of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as solvent further facilitates the reaction. For 4 , the $E, E$ configuration is confirmed by the $15-\mathrm{Hz}$ coupling constant for the newly introduced double bond, the quantitative formation of the Diels-Alder adduct with N -phenylmaleimide at room temperature to form an adduct identical with an authentic sample, and comparison with an authentic sample. ${ }^{10}$ The high stereoselectivity of this diene synthesis was further verified by subjecting each diastereomer of 1 separately to the reaction conditions. For 5 , identification was confirmed by comparison with an authentic sample spectroscopically and by mixture melting point ( $151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Previously, diene 4 was only available as an isomeric mixture by the Wittig reaction in studies directed toward streptonigrin by Weinreb. ${ }^{10}$

The stereochemistry of the double bond originally present in the precursor remains unaffected as illustrated in the synthesis of the insect sex pheromones codlemone (8) ${ }^{12}$ and bombykol (11). ${ }^{13,14}$ In the former case, reaction of 6 (diastereomeric ratio $1.3: 1$ ) with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst at room temperature, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$, or reflux in THF led to 7 in $77-82 \%$

yield containing $22 \% \mathrm{Z}, E$ isomer. Hydrolysis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $\mathrm{TsOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) produced 8. NMR and VPC confirmed the presence of two compounds ( $78: 22, E, E / Z, E$ ) with the 10,11 double bond being $E$ in both. ${ }^{15}$ Crystallization from pentane produced pure codlemone (8), $\mathrm{mp} 27.5-28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit..$^{12} \mathrm{mp} 28$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), whose spectral properties agree with those reported.

Precursor 9 when subjected to the same conditions produced 10 contaminated by $\sim 10 \% Z, Z$ isomer. Hydrolysis, as above,
gave pure bombykol (11) after TLC separation whose properties agree with those reported. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis at 270 MHz confirmed the $Z, E$ stereochemistry as well: $\delta 5.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $10.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.94(\mathrm{ddt}, J=10.8$, $10.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.30(\mathrm{ddt}, J=15.0,10.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The fact that the $Z, E$ isomer forms in the latter case as well as the fact that the stereochemistry of the diene is independent of reaction time and shows no detectable variation with reaction temperature indicates the stereochemistry observed is kinetically controlled. In each case, a high to exclusive preference for introducing an $E$ double bond is observed. A scheme to account for these observations is presented in eq 2 . Such a

scheme does not preclude interconversion among the isomers by any mechanism, but suggests that the relative rates of disengagement of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ controls the stereochemistry of the diene. ${ }^{16}$ To confirm that both anti (path a) and syn (path b) fragmentation pathways are possible, both isomers of 12 were subjected to 3 to give $13^{17,19 d}$ in $75-85 \%$ yield. Such an inter-

pretation assumes formation of the $\pi$-allyl complex and its subsequent fragmentation is faster than stereochemical isomerization of starting material. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$

This last result also illustrates the use of this approach as a cyclohexadiene synthesis via Diels-Alder reactions. This approach was generalized as shown by the syntheses of dienes 14-18. ${ }^{17,18}$ Special note should be taken of the synthesis of 17 in which a dienolacetate is produced (thus heteroatom substitution on the diene is tolerated) and of 18 in which a 1,3 substitution pattern emerges from a cycloaddition sequence. An alternative cyclohexadiene synthesis with a similar substitution pattern is illustrated by the conversion of 19 into $20^{23}$ ( $71 \%$ yield). The precursor 19 stemmed from hexanal and methyl acetoacetate by condensation-decarboxylation, ${ }^{20}$ reduction, ${ }^{21}$ and acetylation. ${ }^{21}$ Under these conditions aromatization has not been observed. Control of reaction time may
be necessary. For example, in the production of 15 , a reaction time of $\sim 4 \mathrm{~h}$ sufficed; increasing the time to 18 h led to clean isomerization to methyl cyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylate ${ }^{17,19 e}$ which was isolated in $64 \%$ yield. Such an observation may be general.


| diene | R | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | X | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | H | H | H | H | 87 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}^{19 \mathrm{a}}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 71 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}^{19 \mathrm{~b}}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | H | 69 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}^{19 \mathrm{c}}$ | H | H | H | OAc | 81 |
| $\mathbf{1 9} 23$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | Ph | H | 77 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 69 |




Thus, this stereocontrolled diene synthesis represents a prototype fragmentation reaction catalyzed by palladium. It is interesting to note that loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to form diene is faster than loss of a proton to form a dienecarboxylic acid. Thus, this reaction complements the previous diene synthesis. ${ }^{22}$ We attribute the high to exclusive stereocontrol observed to the complexation of the allyl cation by palladium in the intermediate which increases its lifetime and thus allows ejection of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in both a syn and anti fashion. Steric interactions presumably are responsible for formation of the $E$ olefin. Thus, reactions in which the stereochemistry of the substrates determines the stereochemistry of the products is not always desirable. The present study illustrates one such case. The possibility that other fragmentation reactions may be initiated by palladium, or other transition metals, with benefits of the type reported here are under active investigation.
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## Electron Affinity of $\mathrm{HO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathrm{HO}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ Radicals ${ }^{1}$

Sir:
The $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \cdot$ radical is of great importance in flames, in oxidation at both low and high temperatures, in biological systems, and in the chemistry of the atmosphere (stratosphere and troposphere). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ The anion of the radical $\mathrm{HO}_{2}{ }^{-}$appears very important in solution oxidations ${ }^{2 a}$ and in the aqueous chemistry of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$. A related species $\mathrm{HO}_{3}{ }^{-}$appears to be very important in the chemistry of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ reactions with saturated species at temperatures below $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{~A}$ recent review ${ }^{3}$ lists a value for the electron affinity of $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \cdot\left[\mathrm{EA}\left(\mathrm{HO}_{2} \cdot\right)\right]$ of 4.6 eV . This value comes from a necessarily crude estimate made over 40 years ago. It appears to be much too high to be plausible.

There is a considerable amount of fairly reliable data available on the aqueous thermochemistry of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}{ }^{-4,5}$ as well as on the related species $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (aqueous) and

